

From: [REDACTED]
To: secretary.state@beis.gov.uk
Cc: [East Anglia ONE North](#); [East Anglia Two](#)
Subject: Scottish Power and National Grid - EA1 & EA2 offshore wind farms and related onshore transmission infrastructure
Date: 29 January 2022 15:49:38
Attachments: [Friston House EA1 \(EN010077\) Ref- 20023553 & EA2 \(EN010078\) Ref- 20023554 EA1N EA2 NonIntrusive Survey.pdf](#)
[Friston House EA1 \(EN010077\) Ref- 20023553 & EA2 \(EN010078\) Ref- 20023554 Friston House Ponds.pdf](#)
[Friston House EA1 \(EN010077\) Ref- 20023553 & EA2 \(EN010078\) Ref- 20023554 National Grid Electricity Transmission NGET SEA link Ecological surveys Request for access for nonintrusive surveys Ref 21000666 SEALSurveys .pdf](#)
[Friston House EA1 \(EN010077\) Ref- 20023553 & EA2 \(EN010078\) Ref- 20023554 National Grid Interconnector Holdings Litmited request for access for nonintrusive surveys Title Number SK346948.pdf](#)

To: The Secretary of State the Rt Hon Kwasi Kwarteng,

re: Scottish Power and National Grid - EA1 & EA2 offshore wind farms and related onshore transmission infrastructure.

I urge you to turn down SPR's onshore transmission infrastructure.

Risk of Flooding: As a resident of Friston, we fully support the representations made by SASES.

Ecology issues: Great Crested Newt: Friston House shares curtilage with the proposed Substation site and has two ponds. Attached is the email request, along with the email chain correspondence for a request to access our ponds. You will see that we granted a supervised visit, however a further request for access was denied by us as the applicant was not prepared to share the findings. This denial of further access from us is a direct result of SPR's representatives historic insincere behaviour - when we agreed to grant supervised access to SPR's Heritage representative, we only agree on the understanding that any information was to be shared, what they failed to make clear until after they had visited the property and procured all the data they required, was that the sharing of this information would be in the form of their actual application to the Planning Inspectorate – the Heritage representations made by SPR's representative were completely bias towards the applicant and did not give a true representation of Friston House and the setting in which it sits. We felt that we had been totally mislead by SPR's representatives. There was no spirit of collaboration, just the sense of feeling foolish at being so naive as to have trusted them, we had been duped. As a direct result of this historic behaviour nobody actually knows if there are Great Crested newts in our ponds, all we know is that there was sufficient evidence to want a more detailed survey.

Cumulative impact: Its already starting... in December 2021 we received inquires for accessing our land for two more energy projects.

National Grid Interconnector Holdings Limited - Nautilus: Letters received from Ian Holdworth Chartered Surveyors Ltd (representing NGIHL) on 2nd & 17th December, I sent an emailed response on 5th January 2022 [email attached] for which I have had no response.

NGET SEA link: Letter received from Dalcour Maclaren (representing NGET SEA Link) 21st December [correspondence attached], I sent an emailed response on 5th January for which I have had an unsatisfactory response. On my question on whether data from surveys would be shared, their response is: "...we are aware the Nautilus project are conducting a number of similar surveys in the local area at this time. There have

been many discussions within National Grid to try and marry up and streamline the survey programmes for these two cables, however it has been decided that at this stage the surveys need to be conducted separately...”

Having asked for further clarification to my questions in reply, I have been assured that they “have forwarded it directly on to my client for their consideration”. It is now the 29th January and I have heard nothing. It is interesting to note that the area of land, in which they have expressed interest, is exactly the same as SPR’s initial requests, which were withdrawn to show that they had been listening to local feedback.

Mental Health: Sharing curtilage with the proposed site for SPR and now for two other proposed energy projects, with more in the pipeline, is detrimental to mine and my husbands health. To receive a letter on the 22nd of December, just days before Christmas saying that they want access to our land, which includes our tennis court and parts of the garden directly by the house, couldn’t be more insensitive and it wouldn’t be unreasonable to say that it ruined our Christmas. The lack of understanding of the stress these proposed projects are causing the residents of Friston and the surrounding areas beggars belief – the idea that by offering £250 in some way excuses the intrusion is completely missing the point.

Friston is the wrong site for the SPR substations or indeed any of these energy projects – making landfall on a fragile coastline, carving endless cable routes through protected landscapes, farmland and villages, which will never be the same again is madness. At what point is common sense going to prevail? I implore you to do the right thing and turn down this application – force the energy sector to go back to the drawing board and create a joined up plan that does not decimate the environment and local communities, but rather unites communities and has the environment at the forefront of their agenda.

Yours sincerely

Ning Fulford - [REDACTED] EA1 (EN010077) Ref: 20023553 & EA2 (EN010078) Ref: 20023554